

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
	10/718,393	11/20/2003	Hans Horth	GMH/413/US	9751	
	2543	7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER		
	ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP 750 MAIN STREET			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C		
	SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HARTFORD.	HARTFORD, CT 06103		3754		•

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/718,393	HORTH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	ovember 2003.						
•	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	(PTO-413)					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,393

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

I- Species A: Figures 1-3.

II- Species B: Figure 4.

III- Species C: Figure 4.

IV- Species D: Figures 5-6.

V- Species E: Figures 7-8.

VI- Species F: Figures 9-10.

VII- Species G: Figure 11.

VIII- Species H: Figure 12.

IX- Species I: Figure 14.

X- Species J: Figures 15-16.

XI- Species K: Figures 17-18.

XII- Species L: Figures 19-20.

2. The species are independent or distinct because each of the above noted species are different. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are in claim 1, the cap is movable by pressure, whereas in claim 2, the cap is rotatable with respect to the cartridge.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to the applicant's attorney Mr. Guy D. Yale on 3/16/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,393

Art Unit: 3754

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-

272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (571)-272-4906. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,393

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3754

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

FN

March 16, 2006

Frederick C. Nicolas Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754